arXiv:1109.6193v1 [quant-ph] 28 Sep 2011

Macroscopic quantum electrodynamics and duality in non-local and Onsager-violating media

Stefan Yoshi Buhmann,[1,*] David T. Butcher,[1] and Stefan Scheel[1]
[1]*Quantum Optics and Laser Science, Blackett Laboratory, Imperial College London,*
Prince Consort Road, London SW7 2AZ, United Kingdom
(Dated: September 29, 2011)

We formulate macroscopic quantum electrodynamics for the most general linear, absorbing media. In particular, Onsager reciprocity is not assumed to hold. For media with a non-local response, the field quantisation is based on the conductivity tensor and the Green tensor for the electromagnetic field. For a local medium response, we introduce the permittivity, permeability and magnetoelectric susceptibilities to obtain an explicitly duality-invariant scheme. We find that duality invariance only holds as a continuous symmetry when non-reciprocal responses are allowed for.

PACS numbers: 12.20.–m, 42.50.Nn, 42.50.Ct, 31.30.jh

The linear response of a macroscopic material to applied electromagnetic fields can go beyond the scope of simple descriptions via electric permittivities and magnetic permeabilities [1]. In particular, cross-susceptibilities naturally arise in chiral (meta-)materials [2], topological insulators [3] or moving media [4]. An additional complication arises in the latter case where the Onsager reciprocity [5] fails to hold. The Onsager principle is also violated in Tellegen media [6], including the recently proposed perfect electromagnetic conductor (PEMC) that continuously interpolates between a perfect conductor and an infinitely permeable material [7].

Chiral and anisotropic meta-materials have recently been discussed as candidates for repulsive Casimir forces [8]. Similar effects have been predicted for topological insulators [9] and materials with Chern–Simons interaction [10]. Note that repulsive forces for magnetoelectric media do not require chiral properties; they have originally been discussed for dielectric plates interacting with magnetic ones [11]. To implement these effects with metamaterials, the anisotropic response of the medium needs to be taken into account [12].

The impact of electric and magnetic material properties can be studied in a systematic way by means of a duality transformation [13]. It has recently been shown that macroscopic QED in isotropic magnetoelectrics obeys a discrete duality symmetry [14]. This has immediate consequences for dispersion forces in free space.

In this Letter, we develop the framework for studying quantum optical phenomena in the presence of the most general linear absorbing media, including nonlocal, bianisotropic and Onsager-violating materials. We show that for locally responding media, the electromagnetic field can be quantised in an explicitly duality-invariant way. The inclusion of Onsager-violating materials restores duality as a continuous symmetry. The successful quantisation is in contrast to recent claims that canonical quantisation can only be performed for reciprocal media [15]. Our theory lays a solid foundation for studying novel phenomena in the context of dispersion forces, Purcell effect and quantum light propagation.

Field quantisation for non-local media. The constitutive relation of a linear medium can be given by Ohm's law in its most general form

$$\hat{\mathbf{j}}_{\mathrm{in}}(\mathbf{r},t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mathrm{d}\tau\int\mathrm{d}^3r'\,\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\tau)\cdot\hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r}',t-\tau)+\hat{\mathbf{j}}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathbf{r},t)\,. \quad (1)$$

Here, $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\tau)$ is the conductivity tensor and $\hat{\mathbf{j}}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathbf{r},t)$ is the random noise current required to fulfil the fluctuation–dissipation theorem (17) as given below. Causality requires that $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\tau)=\mathbf{0}$ for $c\tau<|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|$, in particular for all $\tau<0$. Ohm's law in frequency space $[\hat{O}=\int_0^\infty\mathrm{d}\omega\,\hat{\underline{O}}(\omega)+\mathrm{H.c.}]$ takes the simple form

$$\hat{\underline{\mathbf{j}}}_{\mathrm{in}}(\mathbf{r},\omega)=\int\mathrm{d}^3r'\,\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega)\cdot\hat{\underline{\mathbf{E}}}(\mathbf{r}',\omega)+\hat{\underline{\mathbf{j}}}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathbf{r},\omega)\,. \quad (2)$$

with

$$\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega)=2\pi\underline{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega)=\int_0^{\infty}\mathrm{d}\tau\,\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega\tau}\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\tau)\,. \quad (3)$$

As a result of the causality requirement, the conductivity obeys the Schwarz reflection principle,

$$\mathbf{Q}^*(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega)=\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',-\omega^*). \quad (4)$$

Combining the constitutive relation with the Maxwell equations,

$$\nabla\cdot\hat{\underline{\mathbf{E}}}=\frac{\hat{\underline{\rho}}_{\mathrm{in}}}{\varepsilon_0}\,,\qquad\nabla\times\hat{\underline{\mathbf{E}}}-\mathrm{i}\omega\hat{\underline{\mathbf{B}}}=\mathbf{0}\,, \quad (5)$$

$$\nabla\cdot\hat{\underline{\mathbf{B}}}=0,\qquad\nabla\times\hat{\underline{\mathbf{B}}}+\frac{\mathrm{i}\omega}{c^2}\,\hat{\underline{\mathbf{E}}}=\mu_0\hat{\underline{\mathbf{j}}}_{\mathrm{in}}\,, \quad (6)$$

one finds that the electric field obeys a generalised inhomogeneous Helmholtz equation

$$\left[\nabla\times\nabla\times-\frac{\omega^2}{c^2}\right]\hat{\underline{\mathbf{E}}}(\mathbf{r},\omega)-\mathrm{i}\mu_0\omega\int\mathrm{d}^3r'\,\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega)\cdot\hat{\underline{\mathbf{E}}}(\mathbf{r}',\omega)=\mathrm{i}\mu_0\omega\hat{\underline{\mathbf{j}}}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathbf{r},\omega). \quad (7)$$

Introducing the Green tensor

$$\left[\nabla\times\nabla\times\,-\frac{\omega^2}{c^2}\right]\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega)$$
$$-\,\mathrm{i}\mu_0\omega\int\mathrm{d}^3s\,\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{s},\omega)\cdot\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{r}',\omega)=\boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}') \quad (8)$$

with $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega)\to\mathbf{0}$ for $|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|\to\infty$, the formal solution to the above integro-differential equation reads

$$\underline{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r},\omega)=\mathrm{i}\mu_0\omega\int\mathrm{d}^3r'\,\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega)\cdot\underline{\hat{\mathbf{j}}}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathbf{r}',\omega). \quad (9)$$

By virtue of its definition (8), the Green tensor inherits the Schwarz reflection principle (4) from the conductivity,

$$\mathbf{G}^*(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega)=\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',-\omega^*). \quad (10)$$

As a major departure from previous treatments, we do not require the conductivity to obey reciprocity, i.e. $\mathbf{Q}^{\mathsf{T}}(\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r},\omega)=\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega)$ does not necessarily hold. As a consequence, the Green tensor will not obey the Onsager principle, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{G}^{\mathsf{T}}(\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r},\omega)=\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega) \quad (11)$$

will not hold in general.

Despite this generalisation, it is still possible to derive an integral relation for the Green tensor. To that end, we write the Helmholtz equation (8) in the form $\hat{\mathbf{H}}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{G}}=\hat{\mathbf{I}}$ where $\langle\mathbf{r}|\hat{\mathbf{G}}|\mathbf{r}'\rangle=\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega)$ and $\langle\mathbf{r}|\hat{\mathbf{H}}|\mathbf{r}'\rangle=[\nabla\times\nabla\times-\omega^2/c^2]\boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')-\mathrm{i}\mu_0\omega\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega)$. The Green operator is the right-inverse and, within any group of invertible operators, also the left-inverse of the Helmholtz operator, $\hat{\mathbf{G}}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{H}}=\hat{\mathbf{I}}$. From this relation and its Hermitian conjugate, we find

$$\hat{\mathbf{G}}\cdot\bigl(\hat{\mathbf{H}}-\hat{\mathbf{H}}^\dagger\bigr)\cdot\hat{\mathbf{G}}^\dagger=\hat{\mathbf{G}}^\dagger-\hat{\mathbf{G}}. \quad (12)$$

In coordinate space, this relation reads

$$\mu_0\omega\int\mathrm{d}^3s\int\mathrm{d}^3s'\,\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{s},\omega)\cdot\mathcal{R}\mathrm{e}\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{s}',\omega)\cdot\mathbf{G}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{s}',\omega)$$
$$=\mathcal{I}\mathrm{m}\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega). \quad (13)$$

Here, we have introduced generalised real and imaginary parts of a tensor field according to

$$\mathcal{R}\mathrm{e}\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')=\tfrac{1}{2}\bigl[\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')+\mathbf{T}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r})\bigr], \quad (14)$$
$$\mathcal{I}\mathrm{m}\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')=\tfrac{1}{2\mathrm{i}}\bigl[\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')-\mathbf{T}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r})\bigr]. \quad (15)$$

They reduce to ordinary real and imaginary parts for orthogonal tensor fields with $\mathbf{T}^{\mathsf{T}}(\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r})=\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')$. The integral relation (13) generalises the result from Ref. [16] to the case where Onsager reciprocity does not hold.

Returning to the electromagnetic field, an explicit quantisation is achieved by specifying the commutator

$$\Bigl[\underline{\hat{\mathbf{j}}}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathbf{r},\omega),\underline{\hat{\mathbf{j}}}_{\mathrm{N}}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}',\omega')\Bigr]=\frac{\hbar\omega}{\pi}\,\mathcal{R}\mathrm{e}\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega)\delta(\omega-\omega'). \quad (16)$$

The fact that the right-hand side is a Hermitian tensor field guarantees the consistency of this commutation relation. Introducing the ground state $|\{0\}\rangle$ of the medium-field system according to $\underline{\hat{\mathbf{j}}}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathbf{r},\omega)|\{0\}\rangle=\mathbf{0}$, the currents satisfy the fluctuation–dissipation theorem

$$\bigl\langle\bigl\{\Delta\hat{\mathbf{j}}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathbf{r},\omega),\Delta\hat{\mathbf{j}}_{\mathrm{N}}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}',\omega')\bigr\}\bigr\rangle$$
$$=\frac{\hbar}{\pi}\,\mathcal{I}\mathrm{m}[\mathrm{i}\omega\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega)]\delta(\omega-\omega')\,. \quad (17)$$

Combining Eqs. (9) and (16), one finds that the fluctuations of the electric field are also consistent with the fluctuation–dissipation theorem, as required:

$$\bigl\langle\bigl\{\Delta\hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r},\omega),\Delta\hat{\mathbf{E}}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}',\omega')\bigr\}\bigr\rangle$$
$$=\frac{\hbar}{\pi}\,\mathcal{I}\mathrm{m}\bigl[\mu_0\omega^2\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega)\bigr]\delta(\omega-\omega'). \quad (18)$$

To verify the canonical equal-time commutation relations, we introduce the vector potential for the electromagnetic field in the Coulomb gauge, $\underline{\hat{\mathbf{A}}}=\underline{\hat{\mathbf{E}}}^\perp/(\mathrm{i}\omega)$ ($\perp$: transverse part). Using Eqs. (9) and (16), one finds

$$\bigl[\underline{\hat{\mathbf{E}}}(\mathbf{r},\omega),\underline{\hat{\mathbf{A}}}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}',\omega')\bigr]$$
$$=\frac{\mathrm{i}\hbar\mu_0\omega}{\pi}\,\mathcal{I}\mathrm{m}\mathbf{G}^\perp(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega)\delta(\omega-\omega') \quad (19)$$

and hence

$$\bigl[\hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r}),\hat{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{r}')\bigr]$$
$$=\frac{\hbar\mu_0}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mathrm{d}\omega\,\omega\,\bigl[\mathbf{G}^\perp(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega)+{}^\perp\mathbf{G}^{\mathsf{T}}(\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r},\omega)\bigr] \quad (20)$$

where the Schwarz reflection principle (10) has been used. Closing the integration contour in the upper half of the complex ω plane and using the asymptote $(\omega^2/c^2)\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega)\to-\boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')$ for $\omega\to\infty$, one finds the canonical commutation relation from free-space QED,

$$\bigl[\hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r}),\hat{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{r}')\bigr]=\frac{\mathrm{i}\hbar}{\varepsilon_0}\,\boldsymbol{\delta}^\perp(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'). \quad (21)$$

Next, we introduce bosonic creation and annihilation operators $\hat{\mathbf{f}}^\dagger$, $\hat{\mathbf{f}}$ according to the prescription

$$\hat{\mathbf{j}}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathbf{r},\omega)=\sqrt{\frac{\hbar\omega}{\pi}}\int\mathrm{d}^3r'\,\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega)\cdot\hat{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{r}',\omega) \quad (22)$$

where $\mathbf{R}$ is a square root of the positive definite tensor field $\mathcal{R}\mathrm{e}\mathbf{Q}$,

$$\int\mathrm{d}^3s\,\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{s},\omega)\cdot\mathbf{R}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{s},\omega)=\mathcal{R}\mathrm{e}\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega). \quad (23)$$

Together with Eq. (16), this ensures bosonic commutation relations, $[\hat{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{r},\omega),\hat{\mathbf{f}}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}',\omega')]=\boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')\delta(\omega-\omega')$. The Hamiltonian of the body-field system is then

$$\hat{H}_{\mathrm{F}}=\int\mathrm{d}^3r\int_0^\infty\mathrm{d}\omega\,\hbar\omega\,\hat{\mathbf{f}}^\dagger(\mathbf{r},\omega)\cdot\hat{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{r},\omega). \quad (24)$$

It leads to $\hat{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{r},\omega,t)=\hat{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{r},\omega)\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega t}$, hence Maxwell's equations for the electromagnetic-field operators in the Heisenberg picture are valid by construction.

Field quantisation for local bianisotropic media. For media with a spatially local response, it is convenient to cast the inhomogeneous Maxwell equations (6) into the alternative forms

$$\nabla\cdot\underline{\hat{\mathbf{D}}}=0,\qquad \nabla\times\underline{\hat{\mathbf{H}}}+\mathrm{i}\omega\underline{\hat{\mathbf{D}}}=\mathbf{0} \tag{25}$$

with

$$\underline{\hat{\mathbf{D}}}=\varepsilon_0\underline{\hat{\mathbf{E}}}+\underline{\hat{\mathbf{P}}},\qquad \underline{\hat{\mathbf{H}}}=\frac{1}{\mu_0}\underline{\hat{\mathbf{B}}}-\underline{\hat{\mathbf{M}}}. \tag{26}$$

The polarisation and magnetisation fields respond linearly to the electric and magnetic fields ($Z_0=\sqrt{\mu_0/\varepsilon_0}$),

$$\underline{\hat{\mathbf{P}}} = \varepsilon_0(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}-\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1}\cdot\boldsymbol{\zeta}-\mathbf{I})\cdot\underline{\hat{\mathbf{E}}}+Z_0^{-1}\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1}\cdot\underline{\hat{\mathbf{B}}}+\underline{\hat{\mathbf{P}}}_{\mathrm{N}}, \tag{27}$$

$$\underline{\hat{\mathbf{M}}} = Z_0^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1}\cdot\boldsymbol{\zeta}\cdot\underline{\hat{\mathbf{E}}}+\mu_0^{-1}(\mathbf{I}-\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1})\cdot\underline{\hat{\mathbf{B}}}+\underline{\hat{\mathbf{M}}}_{\mathrm{N}}, \tag{28}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{r},\omega)$ is the medium's permittivity; $\boldsymbol{\mu}(\mathbf{r},\omega)$ its permeability; $\boldsymbol{\xi}(\mathbf{r},\omega)$ and $\boldsymbol{\zeta}(\mathbf{r},\omega)$ its magnetoelectric susceptibilities; $\underline{\hat{\mathbf{P}}}_{\mathrm{N}}$ and $\underline{\hat{\mathbf{M}}}_{\mathrm{N}}$ denote the noise polarisation and magnetisation. Combining Eqs. (26)–(28), the constitutive relations can be given in the more familiar form

$$\underline{\hat{\mathbf{D}}} = \varepsilon_0\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\cdot\underline{\hat{\mathbf{E}}}+c^{-1}\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\underline{\hat{\mathbf{H}}}+\underline{\hat{\mathbf{P}}}_{\mathrm{N}}+c^{-1}\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\underline{\hat{\mathbf{M}}}_{\mathrm{N}}, \tag{29}$$

$$\underline{\hat{\mathbf{B}}} = c^{-1}\boldsymbol{\zeta}\cdot\underline{\hat{\mathbf{E}}}+\mu_0\boldsymbol{\mu}\cdot\underline{\hat{\mathbf{H}}}+\mu_0\boldsymbol{\mu}\cdot\underline{\hat{\mathbf{M}}}_{\mathrm{N}}. \tag{30}$$

Combining Eqs. (25), (29) and (30), we see that they are a special case of Eq. (7) with

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega)&=(\mathrm{i}\mu_0\omega)^{-1}\nabla\times(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1}-\mathbf{I})\cdot\boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')\times\overleftarrow{\nabla}'\\&\quad+Z_0^{-1}\nabla\times\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1}\cdot\boldsymbol{\zeta}\cdot\boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')+Z_0^{-1}\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1}\cdot\boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')\times\overleftarrow{\nabla}'\\&\quad-\mathrm{i}\varepsilon_0\omega(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}-\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1}\cdot\boldsymbol{\zeta}-\mathbf{I})\cdot\boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'),\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

$$\underline{\hat{\mathbf{j}}}_{\mathrm{N}}=-\mathrm{i}\omega\underline{\hat{\mathbf{P}}}_{\mathrm{N}}+\nabla\times\underline{\hat{\mathbf{M}}}_{\mathrm{N}}. \tag{32}$$

The Green tensor for the electric field (9) solves

$$\begin{aligned}\Big[&\nabla\times\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1}\cdot\nabla\times\;-\frac{\mathrm{i}\omega}{c}\nabla\times\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1}\cdot\boldsymbol{\zeta}\cdot\;+\frac{\mathrm{i}\omega}{c}\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1}\cdot\nabla\times\\&-\frac{\omega^2}{c^2}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}-\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1}\cdot\boldsymbol{\zeta})\cdot\Big]\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega)=\boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}').\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

The commutation relations for $\hat{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathrm{N}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathrm{N}}$ can be deduced by substituting Eqs. (31) and (32) into Eq. (16),

$$\begin{aligned}&\big[\underline{\hat{\mathbf{P}}}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathbf{r},\omega),\underline{\hat{\mathbf{P}}}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathbf{r}',\omega')\big]\\&\quad=\frac{\varepsilon_0\hbar}{\pi}\mathcal{I}\mathrm{m}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}-\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1}\cdot\boldsymbol{\zeta})\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')\delta(\omega-\omega'),\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\big[\underline{\hat{\mathbf{P}}}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathbf{r},\omega),\underline{\hat{\mathbf{M}}}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathbf{r}',\omega')\big]\\&\quad=\frac{\hbar}{2\pi\mathrm{i}Z_0}(\boldsymbol{\zeta}^{\dagger}\cdot\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1\dagger}-\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1})\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')\delta(\omega-\omega'),\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\big[\underline{\hat{\mathbf{M}}}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathbf{r},\omega),\underline{\hat{\mathbf{M}}}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathbf{r}',\omega')\big]\\&\quad=-\frac{\hbar}{\pi\mu_0}\mathcal{I}\mathrm{m}\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1}\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')\delta(\omega-\omega').\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

We introduce bosonic creation and annihilation operators $[\hat{\mathbf{f}}_\lambda(\mathbf{r},\omega),\hat{\mathbf{f}}^{\dagger}_{\lambda'}(\mathbf{r}',\omega')]=\delta_{\lambda\lambda'}\boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')\delta(\omega-\omega')$ $(\lambda,\lambda'=e,m)$ according to

$$\begin{pmatrix}\hat{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathbf{r},\omega)\\\hat{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathbf{r},\omega)\end{pmatrix}=\sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{\pi}}\,\mathcal{R}\cdot\begin{pmatrix}\hat{\mathbf{f}}_e(\mathbf{r},\omega)\\\hat{\mathbf{f}}_m(\mathbf{r},\omega)\end{pmatrix}, \tag{37}$$

where the (6×6)-matrix $\mathcal{R}$ is a root of

$$\mathcal{R}\mathcal{R}^{\dagger}=\begin{pmatrix}\varepsilon_0\mathcal{I}\mathrm{m}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}-\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1}\cdot\boldsymbol{\zeta}) & \dfrac{\boldsymbol{\zeta}^{\dagger}\cdot\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1\dagger}-\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1}}{2\mathrm{i}Z_0}\\ -\dfrac{\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1}\cdot\boldsymbol{\zeta}-\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1\dagger}\cdot\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\dagger}}{2\mathrm{i}Z_0} & -\dfrac{\mathcal{I}\mathrm{m}\boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1}}{\mu_0}\end{pmatrix}. \tag{38}$$

The Hamiltonian of the body–field system is again quadratic and diagonal in the bosonic variables,

$$\hat{H}_{\mathrm{F}}=\sum_{\lambda=e,m}\int\mathrm{d}^3r\int_0^\infty\mathrm{d}\omega\,\hbar\omega\,\hat{\mathbf{f}}^{\dagger}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{r},\omega)\cdot\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{r},\omega). \tag{39}$$

Note that Eqs. (26)–(28) imply a separation of the internal current density into electric and magnetic parts, $\underline{\hat{\mathbf{j}}}_{\mathrm{in}}=-\mathrm{i}\omega\underline{\hat{\mathbf{P}}}+\nabla\times\underline{\hat{\mathbf{M}}}$. This separation and the resulting explicit field quantisation is not unique [17].

Duality invariance. Introducing dual-pair notation $(\hat{\mathbf{E}}^{\mathsf{T}},Z_0\hat{\mathbf{H}}^{\mathsf{T}})^{\mathsf{T}}$, $(Z_0\hat{\mathbf{D}}^{\mathsf{T}},\hat{\mathbf{B}}^{\mathsf{T}})^{\mathsf{T}}$, we may write the Maxwell equations (5) and (25) in the compact form

$$\nabla\cdot\begin{pmatrix}Z_0\underline{\hat{\mathbf{D}}}\\\underline{\hat{\mathbf{B}}}\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}0\\0\end{pmatrix}, \tag{40}$$

$$\nabla\times\begin{pmatrix}\underline{\hat{\mathbf{E}}}\\Z_0\underline{\hat{\mathbf{H}}}\end{pmatrix}-\mathrm{i}\omega\begin{pmatrix}0&1\\-1&0\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}Z_0\underline{\hat{\mathbf{D}}}\\\underline{\hat{\mathbf{B}}}\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}\mathbf{0}\\\mathbf{0}\end{pmatrix}. \tag{41}$$

The constitutive relations (29) and (30) read

$$\begin{pmatrix}Z_0\underline{\hat{\mathbf{D}}}\\\underline{\hat{\mathbf{B}}}\end{pmatrix}=\frac{1}{c}\begin{pmatrix}\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}&\boldsymbol{\xi}\\\boldsymbol{\zeta}&\boldsymbol{\mu}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\underline{\hat{\mathbf{E}}}\\Z_0\underline{\hat{\mathbf{H}}}\end{pmatrix}+\begin{pmatrix}1&\boldsymbol{\xi}\\0&\boldsymbol{\mu}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}Z_0\underline{\hat{\mathbf{P}}}_{\mathrm{N}}\\\mu_0\underline{\hat{\mathbf{M}}}_{\mathrm{N}}\end{pmatrix}. \tag{42}$$

Maxwell's equations are invariant under duality transformations

$$\begin{pmatrix}\mathbf{x}\\\mathbf{y}\end{pmatrix}^{\circledast}=D(\theta)\begin{pmatrix}\mathbf{x}\\\mathbf{y}\end{pmatrix},\qquad D(\theta)=\begin{pmatrix}\cos\theta&\sin\theta\\-\sin\theta&\cos\theta\end{pmatrix}, \tag{43}$$

because the symplectic matrix in Eq. (41) commutes with $D(\theta)$. From the constitutive relations, we find transformed medium response functions $(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon},\boldsymbol{\xi},\boldsymbol{\zeta},\boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}\circledast}=\mathcal{D}(\theta)(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon},\boldsymbol{\xi},\boldsymbol{\zeta},\boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathsf{T}}$ with

$$\mathcal{D}(\theta)=\begin{pmatrix}\cos^2\theta&\sin\theta\cos\theta&\sin\theta\cos\theta&\sin^2\theta\\-\sin\theta\cos\theta&\cos^2\theta&-\sin^2\theta&\sin\theta\cos\theta\\-\sin\theta\cos\theta&-\sin^2\theta&\cos^2\theta&\sin\theta\cos\theta\\\sin^2\theta&-\sin\theta\cos\theta&-\sin\theta\cos\theta&\cos^2\theta\end{pmatrix} \tag{44}$$

as well as

$$\begin{pmatrix}1&\boldsymbol{\xi}\\0&\boldsymbol{\mu}\end{pmatrix}^{\circledast}\begin{pmatrix}Z_0\underline{\hat{\mathbf{P}}}_{\mathrm{N}}\\\mu_0\underline{\hat{\mathbf{M}}}_{\mathrm{N}}\end{pmatrix}^{\circledast}=D(\theta)\begin{pmatrix}1&\boldsymbol{\xi}\\0&\boldsymbol{\mu}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}Z_0\underline{\hat{\mathbf{P}}}_{\mathrm{N}}\\\mu_0\underline{\hat{\mathbf{M}}}_{\mathrm{N}}\end{pmatrix}. \tag{45}$$

It is worth discussing a few special cases of bianisotropic media, their characteristic features and behaviour under duality transformations:

- *Isotropic media* ($\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}=\varepsilon\mathbf{I}$, $\boldsymbol{\mu}=\mu\mathbf{I}$, $\boldsymbol{\xi}=\boldsymbol{\zeta}=\mathbf{0}$): Onsager reciprocity (11) holds; $\hat{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathrm{N}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\dagger}$ commute; generalised real and imaginary parts reduce to ordinary ones; discrete duality symmetry.

- *Biisotropic media* ($\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}=\varepsilon\mathbf{I}$, $\boldsymbol{\mu}=\mu\mathbf{I}$, $\boldsymbol{\xi}=\xi\mathbf{I}$, $\boldsymbol{\zeta}=\zeta\mathbf{I}$): generalised real and imaginary parts in Eqs. (34) and (36) reduce to ordinary ones; continuous duality symmetry.

- *Anisotropic media* ($\boldsymbol{\xi}=\boldsymbol{\zeta}=\mathbf{0}$): $\hat{\mathbf{P}}_{\mathrm{N}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\dagger}$ commute; discrete duality symmetry.

- *Reciprocal media* ($\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\mathsf{T}}=\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$, $\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\mathsf{T}}=-\boldsymbol{\zeta}$, $\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathsf{T}}=\boldsymbol{\mu}$): Eq. (11) holds; generalised real and imaginary parts reduce to ordinary ones; discrete duality symmetry.

Here, discrete duality symmetry means that the rotation angle is restricted to values $\theta=n\pi/2$ with $n\in\mathbb{Z}$.

To distinguish reciprocal magnetoelectric susceptibilities from non-reciprocal ones, one commonly writes $\boldsymbol{\xi}=\boldsymbol{\chi}^{\mathsf{T}}-\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{\kappa}^{\mathsf{T}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\zeta}=\boldsymbol{\chi}+\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{\kappa}$. Here, the chirality tensor $\boldsymbol{\kappa}=(\boldsymbol{\zeta}-\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\mathsf{T}})/(2\mathrm{i})$ represents the reciprocal magnetoelectric response; and the non-reciprocal magnetoelectric tensor $\boldsymbol{\chi}=(\boldsymbol{\zeta}+\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\mathsf{T}})/2$ vanishes for a reciprocal medium.

To derive transformation laws for the Green tensor, we combine Eqs. (5), (7), (26), (28), and (32) to write

$$\begin{pmatrix} \underline{\hat{\mathbf{E}}} \\ Z_0\underline{\hat{\mathbf{H}}} \end{pmatrix} = -c\mathcal{G}\cdot\begin{pmatrix} Z_0\underline{\hat{\mathbf{P}}}_{\mathrm{N}} \\ \mu_0\underline{\hat{\mathbf{M}}}_{\mathrm{N}} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{46}$$

$$\mathcal{G}=\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{G}_{ee} & \mathbf{G}_{em} \\ \boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1}\cdot(\mathbf{G}_{me}-\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\mathbf{G}_{ee}) & \boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1}\cdot(\mathbf{G}_{mm}-\boldsymbol{\xi}\cdot\mathbf{G}_{em})+\boldsymbol{\delta} \end{pmatrix} \tag{47}$$

where integration over the second position variable is implied and we have introduced the shorthand notations $\mathbf{G}_{ee}=(\mathrm{i}\omega/c)\mathbf{G}(\mathrm{i}\omega/c)$, $\mathbf{G}_{em}=(\mathrm{i}\omega/c)\mathbf{G}\times\overleftarrow{\nabla}'$, $\mathbf{G}_{me}=\nabla\times\mathbf{G}(\mathrm{i}\omega/c)$, and $\mathbf{G}_{mm}=\nabla\times\mathbf{G}\times\overleftarrow{\nabla}'$. The transformed tensors follow upon applying duality transformations on both sides of the equation. In general, the result is very complex due to the presence of the medium response functions in Eqs. (45) and (47). In the special case of $\mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{r}'$ being in free space, one obtains the simple result $(\mathbf{G}_{ee},\mathbf{G}_{em},\mathbf{G}_{me},\mathbf{G}_{mm}+\boldsymbol{\delta})^{\mathsf{T}\circledast}=\mathcal{D}(\theta)(\mathbf{G}_{ee},\mathbf{G}_{em},\mathbf{G}_{me},\mathbf{G}_{mm}+\boldsymbol{\delta})^{\mathsf{T}}$. The Green tensors then transform like the medium response functions with Eq. (44).

Conclusions. Starting from the general Ohm's law, we have quantised the electromagnetic field in the presence of non-local, non-reciprocal media which satisfies (i) the canonical commutation relations from free-space QED; (ii) the linear fluctuation–dissipation theorem; and (iii) the macroscopic Maxwell equations. Key feature of the scheme is the symmetrisation of tensor fields via generalised real and imaginary parts, which is necessary whenever Onsager reciprocity does not hold.

For a local bianisotropic medium, we have shown that quantisation can alternatively performed by introducing permittivity, permeability and magnetoelectric susceptibilities. When the latter do not vanish, the noise polarisation and magnetisation do not commute. We have explicitly determined the behaviour of the fields, response functions and Green tensor under duality transformations. The full continuous transformation group applies for bianisotropic and biisotropic media, but reduces to a discrete symmetry for anisotropic, isotropic and/or reciprocal media.

The scheme lays the foundation for exact studies of quantum phenomena such as dispersion forces, (Förster) energy transfer or environment-assisted molecular transition rates in the presence of motion or novel media with chiral or non-reciprocal properties. For example, it will facilitate the design of materials that show Casimir repulsion, and guide towards new schemes to test CP-violation in atoms.

This work was supported by the UK Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC). We would like to thank F. H. Hehl for discussions.

---

* Electronic address: s.buhmann@imperial.ac.uk

[1] L.V. Lindell, A.H. Sihvola, S.A. Tretyakov, and A.J. Viitanen, *Electromagnetic Waves in Chiral and Bi-Isotropic Media* (Artech House, Norwood, MA, 1994); F.H. Hehl and Y.N. Obukhov, *Foundations of classical electrodynamics* (Birkhäuser, Boston, 2003); Phys. Lett. A **334**, 249 (2005).

[2] A. Ishimaru, S.W. Lee, Y. Kuga, and V. Jandhyala, IEEE Trans. Antennas Prop. **51**, 2550 (2003); V. Yannopapas, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **18**, 6883 (2006); M. Thiel, M.S. Rill, G. von Freymann, and M. Wegener, Adv. Mat. **21**, 4680 (2009).

[3] L. Fu, C.L. Kane, and E.J. Mele, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 106803 (2007).

[4] J.F. McKenzie, Proc. Phys. Soc. **91**, 532 (1967).

[5] L. Onsager, Phys. Rev. **37**, 405 (1931).

[6] B.D.H. Tellegen, Philips Res. Rep. **3**, 81 (1948).

[7] I.V. Lindell and A.H. Sihvola, J. Electromagn. Waves and Appl. **19**, 861 (2005).

[8] R. Zhao, J. Zhou, T. Koschny, E.N. Economou, and C.M. Soukoulis, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 103602 (2009).

[9] A.G. Grushin and A. Cortijo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 020403 (2011).

[10] V.N. Marachevsky and Y.M. Pis'mak, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 065005 (2010).

[11] C. Henkel and K. Joulain, Europhys. Lett. **72**, 929 (2005); M.S. Tomaš, Phys. Lett. A **342**, 381 (2005).

[12] F.S.S. Rosa, D.A.R. Dalvit, and P.W. Milonni, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 183602 (2008).

[13] L. Silberstein, Ann. d. Phys. **327**, 579 (1907); G.Y. Rainich, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **27**, 106 (1925); C.W.

Misner and J.A. Wheeler, Ann. Phys. **2**, 525 (1957).

[14] S.Y. Buhmann and S. Scheel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 140404 (2009).

[15] T. Philbin, New J. Phys. **13**, 063026 (2010).

[16] C. Raabe, S. Scheel and D.-G. Welsch, Phys. Rev. A **75**, 053813 (2007).

[17] D.B. Melrose, *Quantum Plasmadynamics* (Springer, New York, 2008).